



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/768,069		01/22/2001	Thomas Glenn Hall JR.	RIC00025	RIC00025 2505	
25537	7590	09/08/2005		EXAMINER		
MCI, INC		NW	SAM, PHIRIN			
1133 19TH STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			•	2661		
				DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	09/768,069	HALL, THOMAS GLENN					
	Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MAIL ING DATE of this communication and	Phirin Sam	2661					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	ebruary 2005.						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
•/	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-21 and 23</u> is/are allowed.							
	☐ Claim(s) 1,5 and 8 is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	Claim(s) 2-4,6,7 and 9-13 is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 July 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
PHIRIN SAM								
Attachment(s) PRIMARY EXAMINER								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/768,069

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 5, and 8 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 5, and 8 of copending Application No. 09/768,068. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: "An intelligent network ... comprising: a multi-service ... an ATM signaling intercept ... a service administration ...".

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,069 Page 3

Art Unit: 2661

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-4, 6, 7, 9-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claims 14-21 and 23 are allowed.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (1) US Patent 6,888,833 (Howell) discloses system and method for processing call signaling.
- (2) US Patent 6,754,180 (Christie) discloses system, method, and computer program product for support of bearer path services in a distributed control network.
- (3) US Pub. 2002/0093947 (Allen, Jr. et al) discloses ATM-based distributed virtual tandem switching system.
- (4) US Pub 2002/0024945 (Civanlar et al) discloses WAN-based gateway.
- (5) US Patent 6,282,191 (Cumberton et al) discloses methods and apparatus for transporting narrowband (voice) traffic over a broadband (ATM) network.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phirin Sam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3082. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:00AM 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272 - 3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,069 Page 4

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 6, 2005

PHIRIN SAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER